IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 671 of 2005

Between:

1 Sri Ravi Auto Mobiles, Nellore Road, Badvel, Kadapa District rep.,

by its Managing Director Sri B.Ravi Kumar, s/o Ramana Reddy
2 Sri Sai Krishna Sales & Services Centre, Mydukur Road, Badvel,

Kadapa District rep., by its Managing Director, Smt.N.Suseelamma, w/o N.Sreedhar Reddy

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Superintendent of Police, Kadapa, Kadapa District.
2 The Circle Inspector of Police, Badvel, Kadapa District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction, more particularly a writ in the nature of writ of MANDAMUS declaring the action of the respondents in interfering with the lawful business and directing the closure of the firms of the petitioners' firms (1) Sri Ravi Auto Mobiles, Nellore Road, Badvel and (2) Sri Sai Krishna Sales and Service Center, Mydukur Road, Badvel, Kadapa District as bad, illegal, arbitrary and oppose to law and violation of Article 19 of the Constitution of India and pass such other order or orders

Counsel for the Petitioners:MR.M.VIDYASAGAR

Counsel for the Respondents No. 1 and 2: GP FOR HOME

The Court at the stage of admission made the following ORDER:

The petitioners seek a writ of *mandamus* declaring the action of the respondents in interfering with their lawful business and for a consequential direction to the respondents not to interfere with the same. In the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, the petitioners narrated the circumstances that led to filing this writ petition.

The matter was adjourned with a view to enable the respondents to file a counter-affidavit. In the counter-affidavit filed on their behalf, the respondents state that they never interfered with the business of the petitioners nor do they propose to interfere with the same, except where any criminal acts on the part of the petitioners are noticed.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Pleader for Home.

The respondents flatly denied the allegation as to their interference with the activities of the petitioners. The petitioners did not file any reply disputing these assertions.

In that view of the matter, the writ petition is disposed of taking on record, the statements made by the respondents in their counter-affidavit. There shall be no order as to costs.

04.02.2005

Note:

Issue CC in five days.

(BO)

ksld

To

1 The Superintendent of Police, Kadapa, Kadapa District.
2 The Circle Inspector of Police, Badvel, Kadapa District.

3 Two CCs. to the G.P. for Home, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad

(OUT).

4 Two CD copies.